ELECTRONICALLY FILED

Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

12/28/2018 at 03:34:00 PM

Clerk of the Superior Court By Melissa Reyes, Deputy Clerk

BRIGGS LAW CORPORATION [FILE: 1939.00] Cory J. Briggs (State Bar no. 176284) Anthony N. Kim (State Bar no. 283353) 99 East "C" Street, Suite 111 Upland, CA 91786 Telephone: 909-949-7115

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Attorneys for Plaintiff Christopher Williams

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO – HALL OF JUSTICE

CHRISTOPHER WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DAVID ARAMBULA; CITY OF LEMON GROVE; and DOES 1 through 1,000,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 37-2018-00023369-CU-PO-CTL

PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT'S EVIDENCE SUBMITTED ON REPLY

Action Filed: May 11, 2018 Department: C-68 (Whitney)

Hearing Date: January 4, 2019 Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m.

Plaintiff Christopher Williams ("Plaintiff") respectfully objects as follows to the entirety of the Declaration of Heidi K. Williams: Violation of Plaintiff's due-process right by submitting evidence on reply, contrary to San Diego Watercrafts v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 102 Cal. App. 4th 308, 316 (2002).

In addition, Plaintiff objects as follows to specific portions of the Williams Declaration:

Objectionable Evidence	Basis for Objection	Ruling
Declaration of Heidi K. Williams, ¶¶ 4 & 5.	EVID. CODE §§ 1521 & 1523.	Sustained
, ii	The declaration is a substitute for oral testimony to prove the contents of a writing, in violation of the "secondary evidence rule."	Overruled
Declaration of Heidi K. Williams, ¶¶ 4 & 5.	EVID. CODE § 1401(a) & (b).	Sustained
	The two writings described in these paragraphs have not been authenticated. The "secondary evidence rule" requires authentication.	Overruled

1	Declaration of Heidi K. Williams,	EVID	o. Code § 1200(a) & (b).	Sustained
2	¶¶ 4 & 5.	The neith	contents of the two writings – her of which was created by <i>any</i> to this lawsuit – constitutes an of-court statement offered for the of the matter asserted.	Overruled
4		out-o	of-court statement offered for the of the matter asserted.	
5				,
6	Date: December 28, 2018.		Respectfully submitted,	
7			BRIGGS LAW CORPORATION	
8			A 1 M -	
9		By:	Cory J. Briggs	
10			Attorneys for Plaintiff Christopher	Williams
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21 22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

PROOF OF SERVICE

1.	My name is <u>Janna Ferraro</u> . I am over the age of eighteen. I am employed in the State of California, County of <u>San Diego</u> .
2.	My ✓ business residence address is Briggs Law Corporation, 4891 Pacific Highway, Suite 104, San Diego, CA 92110
3.	On December 28, 2018, I served an original copy a true and correct copy of the following documents: Plaintiff's Objections to Defendant's Evidence Submitted on Reply
4.	I served the documents on the person(s) identified on the attached mailing/service list as follows:
_	by personal service. I personally delivered the documents to the person(s) at the address(es) indicated on the list.
-	by U.S. mail. I sealed the documents in an envelope or package addressed to the person(s) at the address(es) indicated on the list, with first-class postage fully prepaid, and then I
	deposited the envelope/package with the U.S. Postal Service
	placed the envelope/package in a box for outgoing mail in accordance with my office's ordinary practices for collecting and processing outgoing mail, with which I am readily familiar. On the same day that mail is placed in the box for outgoing mail, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service.
	I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The mailing occurred in the city of San Diego, California.
-	by overnight delivery. I sealed the documents in an envelope/package provided by an overnight-delivery service and addressed to the person(s) at the address(es) indicated on the list, and then I placed the envelope/package for collection and overnight delivery in the service's box regularly utilized for receiving items for overnight delivery or at the service's office where such items are accepted for overnight delivery.
-	by facsimile transmission. Based on an agreement of the parties or a court order, I sent the documents to the person(s) at the fax number(s) shown on the list. Afterward, the fax machine from which the documents were sent reported that they were sent successfully.
يـ	by e-mail delivery. Based on the parties' agreement or a court order or rule, I sent the documents to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) shown on the list. I did not receive, within a reasonable period of time afterward, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
that t	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of the State of California he foregoing is true and correct.
	Date: December 28, 2018 Signature:

SERVICE LIST

Christopher Williams vs. Lemon Grove Superior Court of the State of California Case No. 37-2018-00023369-CU-PO-CTL

Kimberly S. Oberrecht Heidi K. Williams HORTON, OBERRECHT, KIRKPATRICK & MARTHA 225 Broadway, Suite 2200 San Diego, California 92101

Telephone: (619) 232-1183 koberrecht@hortonfirm.com

Susan L. Oliver, Esq. Emily M. Straub, Esq. TYSON & MENDES 5661 La Jolla Boulevard La Jolla, CA 92037

Telephone: (858) 459-4400 Soliver@tysonmendes.com estraub@tysonmendes.com Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF LEMON GROVE

Attorneys for Defendant DAVID ARAMBULA