

Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP W. Broadway, Suite 2000

set forth below. I make this declaration in support of Defendant's Opposition to plaintiff Joshua 1 2 Billauer ("Plaintiff")'s Ex Parte Application to Shorten Time on Motion to Compel Third Party 3 Witness and Extend Deadline for Anti-SLAPP Motion. 4 2. On July 2, 2021 our office was served with the attached Notice to Consumer or 5 Employee, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**. 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy of correspondence 6 7 between myself and Cory Briggs, Esq., counsel for plaintiff. 8 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 9 foregoing is true and correct. 10 11 Dated this 14th day of July, 2021. 12 Scott McCaskill 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 -2-28 DECLARATION OF SCOTT MCCASKILL IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF AND CROSS-DEFENDANT JOSHUA BILLAUER'S EX PARTE APPLICATION TO SHORTEN TIME ON MOTION TO COMPEL DEPOSITION OF THIRD-PARTY WITNESS AND EXTEND DEADLINE FOR ANTI-SLAPP MOTION

Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP 101 W. Broadway, Suite 2000 San Diego, CA 92101

# EXHIBIT 1

## EXHIBIT 1

#### SUBP-025

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): BRIGGS LAW CORPORATION [File: 2059.00]	FOR COURT USE ONLY
— Cory J. Briggs (SBN 176284)	
99 East "C" Street, Suite 111	
San Diego, CA 92101	
TELEPHONE NO.: 909-949-7115 FAX NO. (Optional):	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff/Cross-Defendant Joshua Billauer	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Diego	
STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway	
MAILING ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101	
BRANCH NAME: Hall of Justice	
PLAINTIFF/ PETITIONER: Joshua Billauer	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/ RESPONDENT: Olga Marcela Escobar-Eck	37-2021-00006367-CU-DF-CT
NOTICE TO CONSUMER OR EMPLOYEE AND OBJECTION (Code Civ. Proc., §§ 1985.3,1985.6)	
NOTICE TO CONSUMER OR EMPLOYEE	
TO (name): Olga Marcela Escobar-Eck	
1. PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT REQUESTING PARTY (name): Joshua Billauer	
SEEKS YOUR RECORDS FOR EXAMINATION by the parties to this action on (specify	date): July 14, 2021
The records are described in the subpoena directed to witness (specify name and addre	

*are sought)*: The Atlantis Group, 2488 Historic Decatur Road, Suite 220, San Diego, CA 92106 A copy of the subpoena is attached.

- 2. IF YOU OBJECT to the production of these records, YOU MUST DO ONE OF THE FOLLOWING BEFORE THE DATE SPECIFIED. IN ITEM a. OR b. BELOW:
  - a. If you are a party to the above-entitled action, you must file a motion pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1987.1 to quash or modify the subpoena and give notice of that motion to the witness and the **deposition officer** named in the subpoena at least five days before the date set for production of the records.
  - b. If you are not a party to this action, you must serve on the requesting party and on the witness, before the date set for production of the records, a written objection that states the specific grounds on which production of such records should be prohibited. You may use the form below to object and state the grounds for your objection. You must complete the Proof of Service on the reverse side indicating whether you personally served or mailed the objection. The objection should not be filed with the court. WARNING: IF YOUR OBJECTION IS NOT RECEIVED BEFORE THE DATE SPECIFIED IN ITEM 1, YOUR RECORDS MAY BE PRODUCED AND MAY BE AVAILABLE TO ALL PARTIES.
- 3. YOU OR YOUR ATTORNEY MAY CONTACT THE UNDERSIGNED to determine whether an agreement can be reached in writing to cancel or limit the scope of the subpoena. If no such agreement is reached, and if you are not otherwise represented by an attorney in this action, YOU SHOULD CONSULT AN ATTORNEY TO ADV!SE YOU OF YOUR RIGHTS OF PRIVACY.

Date:	July	· 1,	2021
-------	------	------	------

Cory J. Briggs		"OKIGINAL SIGI	APTER A
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF REQUESTING PARTY	ATTORNEY)
OBJECTION BY NON-	PARTY TO PRO	DUCTION OF RECORDS	
1 I object to the production of all of my records spec	ified in the subpo	ena.	
2. I object only to the production of the following spec	cified records:		
3. The specific grounds for my objection are as follows:			
Date:			
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE)	
	(Proof of service on reve	erse)	Page 1 of 2
Form Adopted for Mendetery Lice			Code of Civil Dressedure

NOTICE TO CONSUMER OR EMPLOYEE AND OBJECTION

TOTOTOTOTA TATE AND TOTOTOTOT

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Joshua Billauer	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Olga Marcela Escobar-Eck	37-2021-00006367-CU-D
PROOF OF SERVICE OF NOTICE TO CONSUMER OR EMPLO (Code Civ. Proc., §§ 1985.3,1985.6) Personal Service 11 1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this lega 2. I served a copy of the <i>Notice to Consumer or Employee and Objection</i> as follows a. Personal service. I personally delivered the <i>Notice to Consumer or Em</i> (1) Name of person served: (2) Address where served:	Vlail action. (check either a or b):
b. Mail. I deposited the Notice to Consumer or Employee and Objection in with postage fully prepaid. The envelope was addressed as follows:	the United States mail, in a sealed envelo
<ul><li>(1) Name of person served:</li><li>(2) Address:</li></ul>	<ul><li>(3) Date of mailing:</li><li>(4) Place of mailing <i>(city and state</i>)</li></ul>
<ul> <li>c. My residence or business address is <i>(specify)</i>:</li> <li>d. My phone number is <i>(specify)</i>:</li> <li>I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the fo Date:</li> </ul>	regoing is true and correct.
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON WHO SERVED)	(SIGNATURE OF PERSON WHO SERVED)
<ul> <li>a. ON THE REQUESTING PARTY         <ul> <li>(1) Personal service. I personally delivered the Objection to Product</li> <li>(i) Name of person served:                 <ul> <li>(ii) Address where served:</li> </ul> </li> <li>(2) Mail. I deposited the Objection to Production of Records in the Ur</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	(iii) Date served: (iv) Time served:
postage fully prepaid. The envelope was addressed as follows: (i) Name of person served: (ii) Address:	(iii) Date of mailing: (iv) Place of mailing <i>(city and sta</i>
(v) I am a resident of or employed in the county where the Object	
<ul> <li>b. ON THE WITNESS</li> <li>(1) Personal service. I personally delivered the Objection to Product</li> <li>(i) Name of person served:</li> <li>(ii) Address where served:</li> </ul>	(iii) Date served: (iv) Time served:
<ul> <li>(1) Personal service. I personally delivered the Objection to Product</li> <li>(i) Name of person served:</li> </ul>	(iii) Date served: (iv) Time served: ited States mail, in a sealed envelope with (iii) Date of mailing:
<ul> <li>(1) Personal service. I personally delivered the Objection to Product (i) Name of person served:</li> <li>(ii) Address where served:</li> <li>(2) Mail. I deposited the Objection to Production of Records in the Ur postage fully prepaid. The envelope was addressed as follows:</li> <li>(i) Name of person served:</li> </ul>	(iii) Date served: (iv) Time served: nited States mail, in a sealed envelope with (iii) Date of mailing: (iv) Place of mailing <i>(city and sta</i>

## EXHIBIT 2

### EXHIBIT 2

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Scott McCaskill Friday, July 9, 2021 2:29 PM Cory Briggs; Diane Cutting; Janna Ferraro Craig Mariam; Jeanne Farrar RE: BILLAUER v. ESCOBAR-ECK - Objections to Subpoena

Cory,

As was discussed numerous time during the deposition, asking a party to define their claimed damages and the facts supporting their claims during a deposition is improper under *Rifkind v. Superior Court* (1994) 22 Cal.App.4th 1255. Regardless, it is certainly improper to ask a business to define what documents and facts support the claims made by its employee, particularly where the employee has not done so yet. If plaintiff has authority that a non-party can be forced to define the claims of a party, please provide it and Atlantis will be happy to reconsider its objections.

#### SCOTT W. MCCASKILL | Partner

### GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI YOUR 50 STATE PARTNER®

101 W. Broadway, Suite 2000 San Diego, CA 92101 D: 619-230-7460 | smccaskill@grsm.com

www.grsm.com vCard

From: Cory Briggs <cory@briggslawcorp.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 8, 2021 3:42 PM
To: Diane Cutting <dxcutting@grsm.com>; Janna Ferraro <Janna@briggslawcorp.com>
Cc: Scott McCaskill <smccaskill@grsm.com>; Craig Mariam <cmariam@grsm.com>; Jeanne Farrar
<jfarrar@grsm.com>
Subject: RE: BILLAUER v. ESCOBAR-ECK - Objections to Subpoena

Scott:

Your client was unable to give even an estimate of her economic damages, which she said all emanate from her losses as a principal of TAG. She also said that her lost business was all subject to non-disclosure agreements, and on that basis she refused to identify a single lost client. It's inconceivable that TAG is unable to work with one of its principals to provide a response to the subpoena or to review the allegations (a copy of which I included with subpoena) to figure out what financial losses Ms. Escobar-Eck has lost as a principal of TAG.

Please let me know by the close of business tomorrow whether TAG is changing its mind. Thanks.

Cory J. Briggs Briggs Law Corporation 99 East "C" Street, Suite 111, Upland, CA 91786 Telephone: 909-949-7115 (office); 619-736-9086 (direct) Facsimile: 909-949-7121 E-mail: cory@briggslawcorp.com

### Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail, and print double-sided whenever possible.

<u>Important Notice</u>: This message contains confidential information intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is legally privileged. If you are not an addressee or the person responsible for delivering this message to the addressee(s), you are hereby notified that reading, disseminating, distributing, or copying this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message by mistake, please immediately notify me by replying to this message and then delete the original message and your reply immediately thereafter. Thank you very much.

Internal Revenue Service Circular 230 Disclosure: Nothing in this message is intended or written by Briggs Law Corporation (including its attorneys and staff) to be used and cannot be used for the purpose of (*i*) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (*ii*) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed in this message.

From: Diane Cutting <<u>dxcutting@grsm.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, July 08, 2021 3:33 PM
To: Cory Briggs <<u>cory@briggslawcorp.com</u>>; Janna Ferraro <<u>Janna@briggslawcorp.com</u>>
Cc: Scott McCaskill <<u>smccaskill@grsm.com</u>>; Craig Mariam <<u>cmariam@grsm.com</u>>; Jeanne Farrar
<<u>jfarrar@grsm.com</u>>
Subject: BILLAUER v. ESCOBAR-ECK - Objections to Subpoena

Dear Counsel:

Attached please find Meet and Confer Correspondence in regards to the above-referenced matter.

Thank you,

DIANE M. CUTTING | Legal Secretary

### GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI YOUR 50 STATE PARTNER™

This email communication may contain CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION WHICH ALSO MAY BE LEGALLY PRIVILEGED and is intended only for the use of the intended recipients identified above. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized review, use, dissemination, distribution, downloading, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by reply email, delete the communication and destroy all copies.

### GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP YOUR 50 STATE PARTNER<sup>®</sup> http://www.grsm.com